IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

STEVEN KADAR AND MARY)	
KADAR,)	
)	CASE NO. 19-373-HEA
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
AROUBA SIDDIQUI, DAVID J.)	
WHITMAN, and UNITED)	
SERVICES AUTOMOBILE)	
ASSOCIATION (USAA),)	
)	
Defendants.)	

<u>DEFENDANT DAVID J. WHITMAN'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS'</u> <u>SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES</u>

COMES NOW Defendant, David J. Whitman, and for his Answer to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint for Damages states as follows:

PARTIES

- 1. Defendant admits that at the time of the accident giving rise to this Second
 Amended Complaint Plaintiff was in St. Louis County, Missouri, but is without sufficient knowledge
 or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining matters set out in Paragraph 1, and
 therefore denies same.
- 2. Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the matters set out in Paragraph 2, and therefore denies same.
- 3. Defendant admits that Arouba Siddiqui was driving the vehicle that crashed into the vehicle in which Plaintiff Steven Kadar was a passenger, but is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the remaining matters set out in Paragraph 3, and therefore denies same.

	4.	Admit.			
	5.	Admit.			
	6.	Admit.			
	7.	Admit.			
	8.	Admit.			
VENUE					
	9.	Admit.			
	10.	Admit.			
FACTS					
	11.	Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the			
truth o	f the ma	atters set out in Paragraph 11, and therefore denies same.			
	12.	Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the			
truth o	f the ma	atters set out in Paragraph 12, and therefore denies same.			
	13.	Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the			
truth o	f the ma	atters set out in Paragraph 13, and therefore denies same.			
	14.	Defendant admits he was driving the vehicle in which Plaintiff was a passenger but is			
withou	t suffici	ent knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining matter			
set out	in Paraș	graph 14, and therefore denies same.			
	15.	Defendant admits he began his left turn upon receiving a left turn green arrow signal			
and otl	nerwise	admits the allegations set out in Paragraph 15.			
	16.	Admit.			
	17.	Admit.			
	18.	Admit.			
	19.	Denied.			

	20.	Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the		
truth o	of the ma	atters set out in Paragraph 20, and therefore denies same.		
	21.	Denied.		
	22.	Denied.		
	23.	Admit.		
	24.	Admit.		
	25.	Admit.		
	26.	Defendant denies he violated any duties owed toward Plaintiff, Steven Kadar, but		
admits	the rem	naining allegations set out in Paragraph 26.		
	27.	Admit.		
	28.	Denied.		
	29.	Denied.		
COUNT I NEGLIGENCE – AROUBA SIDDIQUI				
	30.	Defendant's responses to Paragraphs 1-29 are incorporated by reference as though		
fully se	et forth l	herein.		
	31.	Admit.		
	32.	Defendant admits the allegations set out in Paragraph 32 of Plaintiff's Second		
Ameno	ded Con	nplaint, and each and every subparagraph thereof.		

COUNT II NEGLIGENCE – DAVID J. WHITMAN

- 34. Defendant's responses to Paragraphs 1 through 33 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
 - 35. Admit.

Admit.

33.

36. Defendant denies the allegations set out in Paragraph 36, and each and every subparagraph thereof.

37. Denied.

WHEREFORE, having fully answered Count II of Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint, Defendant David J. Whitman respectfully requests the Court to be dismissed, for costs, and for all further appropriate relief.

COUNT III NEGLIGENCE PER SE – AROUBA SIDDIQUI

- 38. Defendant's responses to Paragraphs 1-37 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
 - 39. Admit.
- 40. Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the matters set out in Paragraph 40, and therefore denies same.
 - 41. Admit.
 - 42. Admit.
- 43. Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the matters set out in Paragraph 43, and therefore denies same.

COUNT IV NEGLIGENCE PER SE – DAVID J. WHITMAN

- 44. Defendant's responses to Paragraphs 1 through 43 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
 - 45. Denied.
 - 46. Denied.
 - 47. Denied.
 - 48. Denied.

WHEREFORE, having fully answered Count IV of Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint, Defendant David J. Whitman respectfully requests the Court to be dismissed, for costs, and for all further appropriate relief.

COUNT V VICARIOUS LIABILITY – DEFENDANT ALEEMUDDIN SIDDIQUI

49. – 51. The allegations set out in Count V of Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint are not directed to this Defendant and therefore no response by this Defendant is required. Nevertheless, if it is determined that the matters set out in Count V constitute a claim against Defendant David J. Whitman, Defendant denies each and every allegation therein and demands strict proof thereof.

COUNT VI RESPONDEANT SUPERIOR – DEFENDANT UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND DEFENDANT RASIER, LLC

52. – 54. The allegations set out in Count VI of Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint are not directed to this Defendant and therefore no response by this Defendant is required. Nevertheless, if it is determined that the matters set out in Count VI constitute a claim against Defendant David J. Whitman, Defendant denies each and every allegation therein and demands strict proof thereof.

COUNT VII LOSS OF CONSORTIUM

- 55. Defendant's responses to Paragraphs 1 through 54 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 56. Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the matters set out in Paragraph 56, and therefore denies same.
 - 57. Denied.

WHEREFORE, having fully answered Count VII of Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint for Damages, Defendant David J. Whitman respectfully requests the Court to be dismissed, for costs, and for all further appropriate relief.

COUNT VIII BREACH OF UNINSURED MOTORIST INSURANCE CONTRACT

- 58. 67. The allegations set out in Count VIII of Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint are not directed to this Defendant and therefore no response by this Defendant is required. Nevertheless, if it is determined that the matters set out in Count VIII constitute a claim against Defendant David J. Whitman, Defendant denies each and every allegation therein and demands strict proof thereof.
 - 68. Denied.
- 69. Defendant denies the allegations set out in Paragraph 69, and each and every said paragraph thereof.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. Further answering, and as a defense, Plaintiff has failed to state a claim against this Defendant upon which relief can be granted.
- 2. Further answering, and as a defense, Defendant is entitled to judgement on the pleadings pursuant to Rule 12(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 3. Further answering, and as a defense, Plaintiffs' injuries and damages, if any, are the direct and proximate result of the negligent acts and/or omissions of individuals and/or entities other than this Defendant and over whom this Defendant has no control, including but not limited to Co-Defendant Arouba Siddiqui, and liability should be apportioned accordingly.
- 4. Further answering, and as a defense, Defendant prays a reduction of any judgment against him in the amount of all payments by joint tortfeasors as provided by RSMo. Section 537.060.

5. Further answering, and as a defense, Defendant reserves the right to request a

hearing pursuant to RSMo. Section 490.715.5 to determine the value of any medical services

received by Plaintiff allegedly due to the incident described in the pleadings.

6. Further answering, and as a defense, Plaintiff's recovery, if any, should be reduced to

the extent Plaintiff has failed to mitigate his damages.

7. Defendant hereby asserts and adopts by reference all other defenses asserted by any

other Defendant to this action.

8. Defendant reserves the right to supplement and/or amended these affirmative

defenses as information becomes available in the course of discovery.

WHEREFORE, having fully answered Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint for Damages,

Defendant David J. Whitman respectfully requests the Court to be dismissed, for costs, and for all

further appropriate relief.

Respectfully submitted,

HENNESSY & ROACH, P.C.

/s/ Peter N. Leritz

Peter N. Leritz, #43345

415 North 10th Street, Suite 200

St. Louis, MO 63101

Phone: (314) 231-0770

Fax: (314) 231-0990

pleritz@hennessyroach.com

7

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned, being first duly sworn on oath, states that service of the above was made via the Court's E-filing system/electronic mail this 3^{rd} day of September 2020, to:

Jonathan Jackson Pledger Of Counsel, Waterford Law Group, PLLC PO Box 1089 Franklin, TN 37065 jipledger@comcast.net

Amy M. Simpkins Law Offices of Rouse and Cary 10733 Sunset Office Drive, Suite 410 St. Louis, MO 63127 amy.simpkins@libertymutual.com

/s/ Peter N. Leritz